

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
Steele Hansmeier PLLC.
38 Miller Avenue, #263
Mill Valley, CA 94941
415-325-5900
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, INC.)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

DOES 1-188,)

Defendants.)

No. C-11-01566 JCS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DOE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
QUASH AND/OR MODIFY PLAINTIFF'S
SUBPOENA**

**ORDER DENYING DOE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH AND/OR MODIFY
PLAINTIFF'S SUBPOENA**

The Court has reviewed Doe Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Modify Plaintiff's Subpoena (DKT#21), and Plaintiff's Opposition (DKT#22) to such. As outlined more fully Plaintiff's Opposition, Defendant's untimely Motion has failed to meet its burden of showing that the subject subpoena should be quashed, and/or modified by this Court. As such, Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Modify Plaintiff's Subpoena is **DENIED**.

In terms of a protective order, though not raised by Defendant, this Court has already ordered that any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a Rule 45 subpoena may be used by Plaintiff solely for the purpose of prosecuting this litigation. Further, Plaintiff shall file any

1 identifying information of this Doe Defendant under seal until this Court orders otherwise. This
2 shall be sufficient protection for Defendant.

3 Third-party entities that have been, and will be, subpoenaed by Plaintiff must still carry on
4 with gathering and supplying Plaintiff with the information requested through its Rule 45 subpoenas
5 as fully laid out in this Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion for Expedited Discovery
6 (DKT#8).
7

8 This order disposes of Docket No. 21.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 DATED: _____

13 Joseph C. Spero
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28